

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 ANTHONY CALZADA,

6 Plaintiff(s),

7 v.

8 DR. LARRY WILLIAMSON, et al.,

9 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-02050-GMN-NJK

ORDER

10 On May 21, 2025, the Court ordered the parties to meet-and-confer and to file, by June 10,
11 2025, a stipulation with a proposed case management schedule. Docket No. 71. On June 11,
12 2025, Defendants filed a status report explaining that the parties did not confer as ordered and that
13 more time is needed to assess how the case should proceed. Docket No. 73.

14 As a threshold matter, the parties are **CAUTIONED** that compliance with the Court's
15 orders is required. The parties did not confer and did not file a timely stipulation. In addition,
16 defense counsel is reminded that requests for relief must be presented in a stipulation or a motion,
17 not in a status report. *Cf.* Local Rule IC 2-2(b).

18 Notwithstanding the above, the Court construes the status report as a request to extend the
19 deadline to confer and file a proposed case management schedule. As so construed, the request
20 is **GRANTED**. The parties must confer by August 14, 2025. The parties must file a stipulation
21 identifying a proposed schedule for moving the case forward by August 21, 2025.¹

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: June 16, 2025

24
25 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

26
27 ¹ Defendants will be tasked with preparing and filing this stipulation on the docket. If the
28 parties do not agree on the contents, the stipulation must provide the parties' competing positions.
Cf. Local Rule 26-1(a).